**Lancashire Local Pension Board**

Meeting to be held on

|  |
| --- |
| Electoral Division affected: |

**Appeals Update**

Contact for further information: , 01772 534826, Technical Pensions Lead, Lancashire County Pension Fund,

|  |
| --- |
| Executive Summary This report provides an update and broad analysis of appeals received under the Internal Disputes Resolution Procedure for the period 1st January 2021 to 31st December 2021. Recommendation The Board is asked to consider and note the contents of the report. |

**Background and Advice**

The Local Government Pension Scheme’s rules set out a formal procedure to resolve disagreements. This is called the Internal Dispute Resolution Procedure. Specifically, the rules for the appeal process are set out in the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations 2013 within regulations 72 to 79.

The Procedure has 2 stages. Stage 1 is dealt with by the body who made the initial decision (or omission of a decision) that is in dispute. This will normally either be the scheme employer or the pension administrators.

If the disagreement is not resolved at Stage 1, the individual can refer it to the

Pension Fund for a decision at Stage 2. The nominated Appeals Officer for reviewing Stage 2 appeals is Colin Smith, Technical Pensions Lead for the Lancashire County Pension Fund.

**Overview of stage 1**

The right to make a Stage 1 complaint is time limited. Generally, the applicant has 6 months from the decision under dispute. The employer will nominate a person to determine Stage 1 complaints known as the adjudicator. The adjudicator should provide their written decision within 2 months (although if there are specific reasons to do so they could send a letter extending and revising this deadline).

**Overview of Stage 2**

The applicant can ask the Pension Fund to take a fresh look at their complaint if they are not satisfied with the adjudicator’s Stage 1 decision, or the applicant has not received the Stage 1 decision letter within certain deadlines.

The decision at Stage 2 must be given within 2 months (although again if there are specific reasons to do so a letter can be sent extending and revising this deadline). If the applicant is still unhappy following the Stage 2 decision, they can take their case to The Pensions Ombudsman for a formal determination provided they do so within 3 years of the event that gave rise to the initial complaint.

**Analysis of appeals – 1st January 2021 to 31st December 2021**

In general, the large percentage of appeal cases remains those linked to ill health issues, whether this is a dispute with the level awarded to the scheme member or where ill health retirement has been determined by the employer not to be appropriate for the scheme member.

The number of Stage 2 cases received during the year is broadly similar to that received last year. However there have been much less Stage 1 cases received for review, down from 28 cases last year to 15 cases in 2021. Only 2 cases, 1 at Stage 1 and 1 at Stage 2, were upheld. This reflects, in part, the support being offered to scheme employers by the Local Pensions Partnership and the Lancashire County Pension Fund team, particularly around the processes for ill health retirement and awareness of employer responsibility within the scheme in general.

Currently there is 1 case with the Pensions Ombudsman, who has determined that it is a case they believe can be investigated. The case relates to a regulatory issue around ill health and the Appeals Officer has submitted a response setting out that the position determined at Stage 1 and 2 of the Internal Dispute Resolution Procedure remains appropriate as the relevant scheme rules have been adhered to correctly.

A full breakdown of the case types and outcomes are set out below:

**Stage 1 cases**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Type of Case** | **Total** | **Breakdown** |
| Received | 15 | 12 - Ill-health  1 – Transfer out (Mis-selling)  1 - Transfer  1 - Retirement benefits |
| Upheld | 1 | 1 – Ill Health |
| Dismissed | 10 | 8 - Ill-health  1 – Transfer out (Mis-selling)  1 - Retirement benefits |
| Outstanding | 4 | 3 – Ill Health  1 – Transfer (Late transfer) |

**Stage 2 cases**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Type of Case** | **Total** | **Breakdown** |
| Received | 9 | 5 - Ill-health  1 – Death Grant nomination  1 – Regulatory (Post retirement spouses' pension)  1 – Transfer out (Miss-selling)  1- Maladministration – (Incorrect final pay calculation) |
| Upheld | 1 | The Employer has been asked to review their ill health decision again as the IRMP had not been pushed for an opinion on the effect of future treatments. |
| Dismissed | 7 |  |
| Outstanding | 1 | 1- Maladministration – (Incorrect final pay calculation) |

# Consultations

N/A

**Implications**:

This item has the following implications, as indicated:

**Risk management**

No significant risks have been identified
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